INDIGENE/SETTLER PHENOMENON AND CONFLICT IN NIGERIA

Beginning in colonial times, there have been varying attempts to manage or exploit Nigeria's ethnic, religious and linguistic diversities through various forms of federalism. Since 1996, the country has been divided into 36 states and 774 Local Government Areas. The concept of 'indigeneity' took root in Nigeria's 1979 constitution and lives on in the current 1999 constitution. This system cat egorizes all Nigerians as indigenes or non-indigenes (the latter also labeled 'settlers') in a region based on where their parents or grandparents were born. The mechanism's intent was to ensure et hnic parity in education and employment, as well as to protect traditional cultures. But in 2006, Human Rights Watch and the International Crisis Group separately reported that the principle has instead systematically marginalized millions of Nigerians and encouraged ethno-linguistic identity politics, which has fanned the flames of inter-communal violence. The mere definition of which groups are indigenous to a region creates many controversies; disputed historical migration patterns and intermarriage often make clear delineations impossible. The policy has become a tool for indigenes across the country to exclude competing 'settlers' from scarce educational, employment and political opportunities, even if these are lifelong resident s of the community. Not surprisingly, this has led to fierce resentment among t he excluded.

In diver se Plat eau St at e, indigeneit y has been used by Chr ist ian politicians to maint ain dominance through exclusion of Muslim Hausa and Fulani 'settlers'. The Jarawa ethnic group is also classified as 'non-indigene', although it also fails to qualify for indigenous status anywhere else in Nigeria. Since 1999 to date in Plat eau State, intercommunal fighting arising from disputes over indigeneity, land and religion has resulted in over 550,000 internally displaced persons. April 2006 fighting between members of the Pan and Gomai ethnic groups over issues of indigeneity resulted in over 100 killed and 8,000 displaced persons. In 2011, entire villages were massacred; women and children were killed in their hundreds.

The British claim to lands in today's Nigeria was internationally recognized in 1885. Initially administered as a concession of the Royal Niger Company from 1900, Nigeria as a formal British colony, was ruled as three distinct political units; the Northern

Protect or at e, the Southern Protect or at e and Lagos Colony. In 1906 the Lagos Colony and Southern Protectorate were merged. In 1914 the three units were amalgamated int o one nation. That is, the Colony and Protectorate of Nigeria. Partly in recognition of the major et hno-linguistic differences between Igbo and Yoruba in the south, the Southern Protectorate was split in 1939 into Eastern and Western Provinces. This was given constitutional backing when in 1947 Nigeria was divided into Northern, Eastern and Western regions, and a move which gave prominence to the three dominant groups: Hausa-Fulani in the north, Igbo in the east and Yoruba in the west. Each of the former three regions had minorities who formed themselves into movements agit at ing for constitutional safeguards against opposition from the larger et hnic group that dominated the affairs of the region. The minority 'problem' became a major political question when it became clear that Nigeria would adopt a federal system of government. Since each region was dominated politically by one et hnic group, minorities began aspiring to separate existences. This question was import ant in the 1954 federal and 1957 constitutional conferences. The north and east refused fragmentation, while the west supported the creation of a mid-western st at e.

Et hnicit y has been one of the regular features of African societies of which Ngeria is part. Ngeria has had more than its share in ethnic-induced violence. The issue of ethnicity has become the most viable factor, which explains the social reality of post-colonial Africa. During colonization of African people, colonialists failed to put the issue of cultural differences of various ethnic groupings into consideration before lumping them together in (colonial) state formation. The oversight has constituted and remained one of the greatest challenges of post-colonial Africa.

In the past decades, the Nigeria's experience has shown that the country has a long list of ethnic violence and hostilities various ethnic groupings. Some of these conflicts include the ones in Jos, Umuleri/Aguleri, Ijaw/Itshekiri, Ife/Modakeke, Tiv/Jukun, among others. This is not to say that conflicts did not exist prior to this period. The history and oral tradition of most African societies contain elements of conflicts and ethnic conflicts, and intra-ethnic conflict situations. The problem at present confronting the contemporary African societies is the rage and magnitude of these ethnic problems. The issue of ethnicity has remained a very visible feature

of the Nigeria nation.

CAUSES OF INDIGENE/ SETTLER CRISES IN NIGERIA

- The main cause of indigene/settler dichot omy has been discovered by various scholars to be resource based. Et hnicity is being often employed as a tool by the political elites in their pursuit of state power and resources. The flag of et hnicity is flown in the allocation of state resources and power sharing.
- Primor dial loyalties, prevailing subjective perception and sentiment are other
 issues that characterise ethnicity. People tend to feel physically safe and
 psychologically secured among the group they perceive as members of their
 ancestral root. Therefore, intrusion is perceived with a high degree of
 suspicion and mostly repelled if meager resources also have to be shared.
- Fear of dominance and external imperialism is also a factor responsible for the consciousness of dissimilarity among people who had hit hert o lived together for ages. This is evident in the relationship between Hausa-Fulani and the Vum peoples of Sango- Kat aff in Platue State.
- In the last few decades, Nigerians have been going through difficult times of ethnic conflicts, violence and antagonism. The weak state structure, characterised by endemic poverty, winner-takes-all philosophy, institutional deficiencies, among others, propel inter-ethnic rivalry. The general attitude of the political elites reinforces the inter-ethnic hatred that is experienced in Africa.
- A major issue again is imperialism and colonialism impacted on ethnicity and ethnic conflicts, which are traceable to the colonial masters' systems of administration, arbitrary delimitation and partitioning.

These conflicts have defied the long adopted western models and paradigms of conflict management. African traditional alternatives to conflict resolution might remain imperative for adoption in the new millennium.

In fact, it is paramount for the people and government of Africa to live above primordial sentiments and subjective perception as well as enemy-image among various ethnic nationalities that dominate the state of affairs on the continent. It is

no gainsaying that the problem or ethnicity can be regarded as a veritable source of under development and bad governance in the country.

Thus, et hnic conflict has been at the forefront of international political debates for the past decade, which aroused concerns about practical solutions to reconcile diverse et hnic groups in the modern democratic state. In examining the concept of et hnic conflict and the impact of variables such as governance, civil-military relations, economics and religion on et hnic identity in Nigeria, advocacy for a 'power-sharing model' that promotes integration and cooperation, will serve as a means of overcoming differences and establishing a basis for dialogue.

Ref er ences

- 1 B. Manby, "Cit izenship Law in Africa: A Comparative Study," Open Society Institute, New York, 2010.
- 2. C. Kwaja, "Nigeria's Pernicious Drivers of Ethno-Religious Conflict," Africa Centre for Strategic Studies, Washington, DC, 2011.
- 3. G Øst by, "Inequalities, the Political Environment and Civil Conflict: Evidence from 55 Developing Countries," in F. St ewart, ed., Horizontal Inequalities and Conflict: Understanding Group Violence in Multiethnic Societies (New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2008); A Wimmer, L.-E. Cederman, and B. Min, "Ethnic Politics and Armed Conflict: A Configurational Analysis of a New Global Data Set."
- 4. L.- E. Cederman, NB. Weidmann, and K. Gledit sch, "Horizont al Inequalities and Et hno- Nationalist Civil War: A Global Comparison," unpublished paper prepared for present at ion at Yale University, April 2010.
- 5. M. Kir win and W. Cho, "Weak St at es and Polit ical Violence in Sub- Sahar an Africa," Afrobar omet er working paper no. 111, Accra, Cent er for Democrat ic Development, 2009. Human Right's Watch, "They Do Not Own This Place": Government Discriminat ion Against "Non- Indigenes" in Nigeria (New York: Human Right's Watch, 2006).
- 6. S. Gat es, H Hegre, H Nygaard, and H Strand, "Consequences of Civil Conflict," World Development Report background paper, World Bank, Washington, DC, 2011
- 7. World Bank, World Development Report: Equity and Development, 2006 (Washington, DC: World Bank, 2006).

- 8. C. Cramer, "Unemployment and Participation in Violence," World Development Report background paper, World Bank, Washington, DC, 2011.
- 9. P. Van Niekerk, "To Prosper, Africa Needs Both 'Big Men," Business Day (South Africa), March 22, 2012.
- 10. National Bureau of Statistics (NBS), "Poverty Profile Statistics, Abuja," 2012.
- 11. International Monetary Fund (IMF), "Nigeria: Article IV Consultation Reports," 2005–2010, Washington, DC.
- 12. NBS, "National Manpower Stock and Employment Generation Survey," Household and Micro Enterprise (Informal Sector), First Draft, 2010.
- 13. P. Collier, C. Pattillo, and C.C. Soludo, eds., Economic Policy Options for a Prosperous Nigeria (New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2009).
- 14. D. Kar and D. Cartwright-Smith, Illicit Financial Flows from Africa: Hdden Resources for Development. (Washington, DC: Global Financial Integrity, 2010).
- 15. British Council Nigeria and The Next Generation Task Force, The Next Generation Report (Manchester: British Council, 2010).
- 16. E. Osaghae and R. Suberu, "A History of Identities, Violence, and Stability in Nigeria," CRISE working paper, Oxford University, 2005.
- 17. P. Chabal, Africa: The Politics of Suffering and Smiling (London: Zed, 2009).
- 18. J.D. Fear on and D. Lat in, "Et hnicity, Insurgency, and Civil War," American Political Science Review, vol. 97, no. 1 (March 2003), 75–90; Y. Bangura, "Et hnicity, Inequality and Conflict in the Public Sector: A Comparative Study," report, Unit ed Nations Research Institute for Social Development, 2006.
- 19. P. Lewis, "Ident it y, Inst it ut ions, and Democracy in Nigeria," working paper no. 68, Afrobarometer, Accra, 2007.#BBC World Edit ion, February 26, 2004.
- 20. A Must apha, "Inst it ut ionalizing Et hnic Represent at ion: How Effect ive Is the Federal Character Commission in Nigeria?" CRISE working paper no. 43, Oxford University, June 2007.
- 21 R Diprose and U. Ukiwo, "Decent ralization and Conflict Management in Indonesia and Nigeria," CRISE working paper no. 49, Oxford University, 2008. M. Bakke and E. Wibbels, "Diversity, Disparity, and Civil Conflict in Federal States," World Politics, vol. 59, no. 1(2006), 1–50.